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MR. POTEET:
Kim, roll call.
MS. BARON: John Poteet?

MR. POTEET:
Here.
MS. BARON: George Brewer?

MR. BREWER:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Dino Taylor?
MR. TAYLOR:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Tony Cormier?
MR. CORMIER:
(No response.)
MS. BARON:
Ron Duplessis?
MR. DUPLESSIS:
Here.
MS. BARON:
George Floyd?

MR. FLOYD:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Kirby Roy?
MR. ROY:
Here.
MS . BARON:
Darty Smith?
MR. SMITH:
Here.
MS . BARON:
Steve Olave?
MR. OLAVE:
Here.
MS . BARON:
Mr. Chairman, we have a quorum.
MR. POTEET:
Excellent. Is there anyone here for public comments?

MS . BARON:
There is not.
MR. POTEET:
First thing we have -- hopefully, you all have had a chance to read the minutes of the last meeting. So we would
like a motion to adopt and approve those minutes.

MR. SMITH:
I make a motion.
MR. OLAVE: Second.

MR. POTEET:
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
Okay. The motion passes. I
would like to propose an amendment to the agenda. Right after the financial report, I would like to propose that we add discussion of the adjacent location amendment.

Do I have a second on that?
MR. OLAVE:

> Second.

MR. POTEET:
All in favor?
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:

All right. We'll add that in. I guess we'll call that $A-1$.

All right. Mona.
MS . ANDERSON:
Good morning. If you will turn
in your packets to the financial statements, for the month ending February 28th, on the statement of net position, the current assets at the end of February were $\$ 2,971,364$, and that included cash in the bank of $\$ 1,076,762$. Our deposits decreased a little bit between January and February as the license renewals began to taper off. Hearings and fines were $\$ 532,650$, which was a decrease from last month. All of the January assessments were collected as well as some from prior months. Current liabilities were $\$ 35,952$.

And on Page 2, the deferred fees for 2016 were $\$ 282,315$. On Page 3, the statement of revenue, expenses, and changes in net position for the month, midway the page, the year-to-date revenues were \$1,372,000 compared to \$992,758 last year. The majority of that increase was in area of
fines. Under expenses, the salaries and related benefits increased $\$ 28,224$ from last year and the remainder of expenses increased $\$ 21,652$. That was primarily in the areas of professional services and vehicle maintenance.

On Page 5 of this statement, the year-to-date net position was $\$ 679,193$. As I said, this decreased a little from last month, but a definite increase over last year. On Page 6, the month-to-month changes in revenues and expenses are shown. The revenues decreased this month compared to the prior fiscal year, but, again, the total year to date is an increase.

On Page 7 is a graph of that same information and you can see that there is a decrease in the spike, and then a decrease in the revenue and that was due to the movement of the deferred revenues last month. On Page 8, that -- this shows comparison of the fee revenue for last year -- or actually year to date last year, and the increase -- we increased in most areas, but primarily again in the hearing fines.

Page 9 is our certificates of deposit and there's no change from last month on that report. Page 10 is the accounts receivable hearings. Fines assessed were $\$ 8,650$ and payments received were $\$ 9,150$. Most of that was in -- last month in February with the exception of the payment from David Boyd at River City Rides. And the total amount due at this time on Page 11 is $\$ 532,650$.

So unless there are any questions, that concludes my report. MR. POTEET:

So have we -- never mind.
All right. Does anyone have any questions?

MR. ROY:
What's the latest on Wego Auto
Sales, what's the --
MS. BARON:
Wego Auto Sales?
MR. ROY:
Yes.
MS. BARON:
That is at the bond company.

MR. ROY:
That's with the bond. And how about -- what was the other one? MR. POTEET:

Lauco.
MR. ROY:
Yes.
MS . BARON:
Lauco is -- was sent to the bond company as well. MR. PARNELL:

The bond will only be covered for $\$ 20,000$ or $\$ 35,000$. MR. POTEET:

So after we collect on those bonds, we'll have to write the rest off. MS . BARON:

Once I get -- once I get the total from the -MR. PARNELL:

The Attorney General's Office. MS. BARON:

Right. We send everything to Ms. Mona and she takes care of that. MR. POTEET:

Okay. All right. Well, it looks like you're off the hook. Good job -MS . ANDERSON:

Thank you.
MR. POTEET:
-- very good job.
MS . ANDERSON:
Thank you.
MR. POTEET:
All right. So the next thing on the agenda is the added discussion of the adjacent location amendment.

And, Derek, I'm going to let you talk about that. MR. PARNELL:

All right. Commissioners, the discussion of the amendment of rule and regulation $2905(A)$, which are the requirements of eligibility for licensure, which is the adjacent location. After our discussion -- on the February Commission meeting, we discussed concerns that were brought to you by Mr. Eric Strodert, representing Don's Wholesale, regarding the requirements for the additional location,
which is adjacent to their primary location. The concern was -- presented to the Commission was with regard to the requirements, which was the established place of business and the phone. If you turn with me in your packets to the back of your packet, $I$ believe it is, you will see the rule and regulation.

MS . BARON:
It's in the back pocket.
MR. PARNELL:
You'll see what the rule and
regulation is. It's number 2905, qualification and eligibility for licensure. Robert and $I$ kind of went and drafted something initially. We kind of went back and forth on how we write language. I know you all wanted to kind of look at the language straight.

If you turn to the second page, the amendment, what we propose is: Licensee shall be required to obtain a license for an additional location where vehicles are displayed. However, when the location is adjacent to a licensed location and is not
separated or divided by an obstruction such as a wall, street, building, or line of trees or similar objects, the licensee shall not be required to have a separate building or telephone. That was the language that he and I kind of came up with that we thought kind of was what you all were talking about at the last meeting. You know, we wanted to make sure that we had some language there that would still require that dealer to have a license, still have -- meet the requirement, except for the established place of business and the telephone line. MR. POTEET:

Okay. So you can -- I don't know if you guys want to discuss that.

MR. OLAVE:
So that would -- if I'm reading
that right, that would require Don's to have a separate license, because they're separated by a road.

MR. ROY:
Because of the street, yes.
MR. POTEET:
The street is the one thing.

What do you guys think about that?
MR. PARNELL:
When you say street, are you
referring to like a street like outside here, this street.

MR. POTEET:
A public road.
MR. PARNELL:
Or like Airline Highway type of street, does it matter or does that matter? MR. POTEET:

Well, let me tell you what $I$ think about that. I don't think it matters as long as there's a requirement that there's signage on that property that says -- let's kind of imagine this. You've got Don's Wholesale. So here is Don's Wholesale and, you know, he's got his information there. He's got his office, his phone, and everything else. And then across the street, it says, Don's Wholesale, you know, with the phone number on it -- a sign with the phone number on it and maybe something that says, main office across the street. I'm not sure $I$ see why that is a problem. I
mean, $I$ understand what people say about, well, people will be walking across the street. Well, we've got Don's Wholesale on one side and Ed's Wholesale across the street. People are going to be walking across. I mean, what --

MS. BARON:
Are they going side street or
like across the street?
MR. POTEET:
I would say -- I would call that adjacent. I guess we would have to look up adjacent in the dictionary, but, you know, we definitely know that next door is adjacent.

MS. BARON:
Right.
MR. POTEET:
We understand that, as long as
it's not separated by a wall or a tree line or something or even some small building, like a Rally's hamburger place. It's not very big, but it does separate them. But I don't see why -- again, this is my opinion. I've got a bunch of other guys in here, but

I don't see why the street -- as long as you have proper signage, and maybe we put that in, that there has to be signage that indicates that you're related to the main building across the street or the main business across the street.

MR. PARNELL:
By definition of adjacent, it's
next to or adjoining something else. MR. POTEET:

They are adjoining the same street. I'm just trying to think of the logic behind it.

MR. PARNELL:
Sure.
MR. POTEET:
Why would you -- you know, why
would you say to somebody, look, your business is across the street, so now you have to have a separate license, you've got to have another set of phones, you've got to have all the things that will result in that, when they're really only, you know, across the street.

MR. BREWER:

In his case, I think it was just
a road that --
MR. PARNELL:
It was a road.
MR. BREWER:
-- it was a dirt road, wasn't it?
MR. DUPLESSIS:
No. It's a concrete road, but if you have to give him a separate license, you're going to -- he's going to be subject to all of the other requirements of a wholesale business. That seems huge.

MS. BARON:
That's the problem.
MR. PARNELL:
I think administratively --
MR. POTEET:
They have to have a separate bond.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
Right. These are the other elements of a separate business.

MS. BARON:
But that would be required anyway, because the zoning requirement will
show that it's a separate address, but that address will have to be covered.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
No, that's not right. That's not right.

MS. BARON:
You don't think?
MR. DUPLESSIS:
The municipal address runs in
sequential order, because $I$ had a piece of property, it was 8 acres, and every 2 acres is a separate address. You go to the main address by which you register with the post office.

MR. POTEET:
Here's my question. What are we trying to stop or what are we trying to accomplish here? If we're trying to protect the public, to me, the protection is that there's a clear requirement that you have some kind of signage that connects that side -- that one across the street or across the road or the one next door or whatever, however you have it, with that one. And I think that we're being fair in saying, hey,
we're okay with that, but if you're two doors down, we're not going to go that far. We're going to say it's got to be either next door or across the street. That -- I think Ron and $I$ are in agreement on that, that there is no -- nothing that is being accomplished in the public's interest by requiring that across the street business to go through all the rigamarole of getting a new license, a bond, you know, all of the different things. You're creating a -you're really making it almost like a separate business when it's only right there. I think we should require them to have some kind of signage. MR. DUPLESSIS:

Of course, and the phone number posted.

MR. POTEET:
And the phone posted and --
MR. DUPLESSIS:
We're creating a unreasonable
obstacle of due diligence and that's not what we're with the Commission. MR. POTEET:

Maybe -- Sheri just brought this up. What about a distance limit? MR. TAYLOR:

I've been thinking about that. Going into Metairie, let's just -- I'm sorry to think like a lot of people that we have to deal with -- well, a lot of people that I have to deal with, excuse me, but like going into Metairie, there is a pretty good size highway right there. There's fences on both sides of the road. I think there should be some type of distance requirement, you know, so somebody doesn't try to take advantage. MR. DUPLESSIS:

Why don't you just say a two-lane road. If they go across the street, you're crossing the frontage road, the ditch, the four lane highway, the other -- you know, it goes on and on. You're looking at, you know, 500 yards.

MR. POTEET:
In my mind, I'm thinking, okay, an interstate highway would not count as a street. You can't be on both sides of I-10. I started to say a median, but there's some
pretty small streets with medians. I mean, if we could just clean that up. First of all, does anybody have any opposition to what Ron and Dino are saying, does anybody have a different viewpoint? MR. PARNELL:

So let me make sure that I'm clear. I'm trying to understand. We don't want them to have the requirements of having an additional location, that also goes with revenue at the additional location. We're saying that they don't have to pay that revenue.

MR. POTEET:
True.
MR. BREWER:
If you have a dealership or used car lot that extends, say, two or three blocks down the street, is he required to -can it be a branch or is he required to open up, like you said, a new business even though that's the main lot.

MR. PARNELL:
Well, currently, the way it's
written now, you have to have an additional
location.
MR. BREWER:
I'll give you an example.
Wholesale Auto Group opened up a new lot right down the street. That's an additional location. He has to meet all of the requirements associated --

MR. POTEET:
Well, what we're doing right now
is, right now, if you're not on this
property, you have to have an additional license.

MR. BREWER:
Yes.

MR. POTEET:
What we're willing to do or what we're proposing is that if you're close by, adjacent or across the street, and I guess we're just going to have to define what a street is, that will be fine. But, again, back to what I said earlier, if you're down two or three addresses down, I think the point is, we've gone to the point -- we've said, this is what we're going to consider --

MR. BREWER:
Right.
MR. POTEET:
-- because if you say two or
three addresses, well, then, what's wrong with three blocks, or what's wrong with three or four blocks or what's wrong with the next town over. I mean, we have to cut it off at some point.

MR. BREWER:
And then in the city of Houma,
there's two dealerships that have four different locations. So they're having to get four different licenses?

MR. POTEET:
Yes.
MR. DUPLESSIS:
If you're a new car dealer and
you have four different locations, you have -- I think there is a difference between an extension of a primary and separate primary. So if you've got an office and you've got salespeople, that's a separate primary location, but if you're adjacent to, you really don't have an office at one of the
lots. I think that's kind of what we're all asking is to allow continuity of business and not interrupt it. But then, again, it is segregated by the elements that make a business. MR. TAYLOR:

I have three car lots within a quarter of a mile of each other. One of them has got a river separating it. One of them has got a gas station separating it and I wholeheartedly agree that I need to have three different licenses, you know. Like I said, they're a good mile. I mean a good football player could hit a couple of them from the other.

MR. POTEET:
Well, I think that we -- you
know, where we are right now is any separate location is requiring a license. What we're trying to do is, you know, compromise a little bit and say, okay, these are the things we'll allow to be one license.

MR. OLAVE:

> Is that really a separate
location?

MR. POTEET:
Yes, and that's kind of where we've come down to. And, again, you know, it's one of those things where if you're negotiating and somebody says, I want a million dollars, and you say, I'll give you a dollar, then we know what the edges of that are. We just don't know where in the middle we're going to end. So I think what we do here is to define street somehow, because we know what it's not. It's not an interstate highway. It's not a -- you know, a four lane highway with a median on it, and then beyond that, then we've got to determine what would be considered, but I think that we have some interpretation with -- we would use wording like major thoroughfare or, you know, highly traveled. And if we make the determination, then if somebody wants to come in and argue with us, we can argue and say, all right, let's look at the location, you know.

Am I on the right track here with everybody with this?
(No response.)

MR. POTEET:
So, to me, I'm okay with this, just -- let's just define what a street is and say that, you know, you can have your business across the street if it's not a major thoroughfare. MS . BARON:

And you want the sign to have the phone number and something stating the main office located --

MR. POTEET:
Yes.
MS. BARON:
-- such and such --
MR. POTEET:
Something that directs them to the main office, so we can't -- so we do have a specific connection to the other business. MR. OLAVE:

Let me ask you this. I don't -again, not from a revenue grab standpoint, but Derek brought up a good point. Is there maybe a separate type license that we could offer for something like that to -- you
know, $I$ mean, just bringing it up for conversation.

MR. PARNELL:
You're talking about $\$ 100$ for a one year license or two year license. MR. OLAVE:

Not requiring the building and everything else, but, you know --

MR. PARNELL:
Personally, I wouldn't want to
lose the revenue. I mean, we can change -MS. BARON:

I agree.
MR. PARNELL:
-- we can -- we can change our requirement for the additional vehicle location to whatever you want it to be. They don't have to meet all of those requirements, but $I$ think the revenue -- I think we still need to grab that.

MR. POTEET:
Well, let me ask you another question before -- I think -- again, I'm sure by Ron's reaction, we're on a similar page here.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
I like revenue.
MR. POTEET:
But how many businesses do you think are going to fall into that category - -

MR. PARNELL:
Quite a few.
MR. POTEET:
-- 1,000?
MR. PARNELL:
Well, $I$ would say 500 at least.
We get people coming in -- I had two people came in last week and were trying to -- but I told them the law hadn't been changed yet, you know, trying to do the exact same thing, why can't $I$ keep this license here and why do I need to have a license when it's next door.

MR. POTEET:
Okay. Well, let me ask you this. Let me ask you this. Would we want something that would be a -- not require all the different paperwork, but just an additional -- like a -- I am just throwing
this out here -- like a $\$ 100$ fee?
MR. PARNELL:
That's what it is.
MR. POTEET:
I mean, we're talking the bond, we're talking about putting in phone lines. We're talking about -- you know, where are we going to cut this thing off? I mean -MR. PARNELL:

In my opinion, we can waive all the requirements except the license fee and the -- and the sign, in my opinion.

MR. POTEET:
We have somebody that's
representing LIADA here, but $I$ want to say that their biggest complaint is not the license fee, I think. Am I wrong?

MR. TAMBLING:
There's no problem with the
license fee.
MR. POTEET:
Right. It's more all of the other stuff. There you go. MS. BARON:

Their first response is that, I
don't have a problem with the fee. He said, I have a problem with all the requirements. MR. POTEET:

And I think we're there. MR. PARNELL:

All right. I just wanted to make sure.

MR. POTEET:
You did a good job. MR. DUPLESSIS:

Well, you have to expect that there's cost to that, right? So there are some requirements. MR. OLAVE:

If I could add one more thing. And we're writing -- we're rewriting our rules here. Would it be okay to -- maybe it's a separate type license and not -obviously not requiring the same things and once they apply for it, they -- then they have to show what adjacent means to them, and then it has to be a special license. It has to be approved --

MR. POTEET:
We can call it the adjacent
location fee or license.
MR. OLAVE:
Well, wouldn't that take care of itself then if they applied for that license and they have to submit some sort of document?

MR. POTEET:
They're going to have to submit something, because we've got to go out and inspect it.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
We're going to have to legislate it. We can't rule it and we can't have a policy on it. I think we have to legislate it.

MR. POTEET:
What do you think, Sherri?
MR. DUPLESSIS:
Because you're adding a fee and you're going to have to fiscal -MR. POTEET: Well, actually, no. We're actually only adding a requirement. We're not adding a fee. MS. MORRIS:

You have to recognize the bond that you put for this location, if it's a sufficient amount --

MR. DUPLESSIS:
Can we a get away without
legislating it?
MS. MORRIS:
That's what I was looking -- I would have to look at it.

MR. POTEET:
We're not -- right now, they
would pay a fee for a license. That's what it is. We're not going to add an additional
fee. We're going to eliminate some requirements.

MS. BARON:
We're just eliminating
requirements.
MR. POTEET:
The fee is still going to be
there. We're not changing the fee. It's not going to be an increase. I think what you're saying is, from a legislative standpoint, if we were adding a fee or we were changing a fee, we would have to --

MR. DUPLESSIS:
The question is, if you have a new licensee requirement, you have to legislate it by statute or can you do it by a rule? I don't know.

MS . MORRIS:
In $791(c)(i i)$ for used motor vehicle dealer license and for each place of business -- additional place of business, $\$ 100$. So if you allow it to be an adjacent location, then you add an additional place of business and it is adjacent, but it's not really a separate business. So you can use your same salesperson. You can use your same -- I don't --

MR. OLAVE:
Basically, attached to the same license, but kind of an add on, an adjacent fee or adjacent location --

MS . MORRIS:
That would be an additional
location for the principal business -MR. POTEET:

Right.
MS. MORRIS:
-- but if you're further than a certain amount of space, you have to have a new principal business. The second license is the new principal business, because you have to have a staff there or whatever. MS. BARON:

You can't use the same staff for that.

MR. POTEET:
I think we should be necessarily
vague about what a street is, because I think we need to control that, the level that we interpret what a street is, because, again, if somebody comes in, well, $I-10$ is a street. It's a gigantic highway. So we have that in there and we make the interpretation of what a street is. Then, I think that that makes all of this work. MR. OLAVE:

And then ultimately the approval
for that license falls with the Commission and investigated and -MR. POTEET:

Just like any other license. MS. BARON:

So they won't have to add anything to their bond, because it would just be adjacent?

MS. MORRIS:
Well, if they need more space for cars, they might need to up their bond. MS. BARON:

Well, they'll have to up the amount, but I'm saying they won't have to have an additional bond for that. MR. POTEET:

Not because they have another
location. It could be as a result of selling --

MS. BARON:
I'm good as long as they're
covered, you know.
MR. POTEET:
We need to have a motion to
change this?
MS. MORRIS:
Well, the garage liability, they
need to report that to their carrier to make sure.

MR. POTEET:

Yes. I mean, all of that -MS. MORRIS:

They need to make sure they've got their -- the coverage for both addresses.

MS. BARON:
And they can add an address onto insurance, right? Yes, $I$ mean, they can, but I was just -- the bond concerns me, because I guess if they don't put -MS. MORRIS:

The bond doesn't usually have an address, does it? It's the address of the office.

MR. POTEET:
That can be -- it could be corporate address. It could be a P.O. Box. MS. BARON:

They would just need to increase their bond size to 35. MR. PARNELL: More than likely. MS. BARON: Yes. MR. POTEET:

Probably -- probably -- maybe, because it's more of what they sold. MS. BARON:

Okay.
MR. POTEET:
Okay. Do we need a motion for this now or do we need to rewrite this before we implement it or do we even need a motion?

MR. PARNELL:
I think we need to rewrite it before we -MR. DUPLESSIS:

Well, if we're going to the legislative session, we're going to have to come up with something now, and then give the legislative committee some leeway to vet it at this point, because we're going to be in session by the time we get back here. MS. MORRIS:

I think it's the rule that needs to be amended and there is a process to the rules.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
So we're going to legislate it?

MR. POTEET:
According to both attorneys -both attorneys last meeting agreed that it did not have to be legislated.

MS. MORRIS:
And then -- because you can just direct your staff that while the rule is pending, but it's a process to, you know, review the applications under this guidance and come before the Commission if they need to.

MR. POTEET:
Okay. How about I make a motion that we amend 2905 to allow for adjacent locations?

MR. OLAVE:
I second the motion.
MR. POTEET:
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
Okay. Now, we'll allow you to
write the new rule.
So, Dwayne, you can report to your constituents the change that we've made.

MR. TAMBLING:
Okay.
MS . BARON:
So we're going to implement this now? While it's in the process of being done, we're going to implement it now?

MR. OLAVE:
Well, it's in process.
MS. MORRIS:
The process is that we have to publish and submit it. We have to finalize the language, publish a notice of intent with a comment period where anybody that's interested or affected by the rule can come in and comment and you can rewrite it or amend it if you want to go through the process based upon the information that you've received, and then it gets a final publication, but it's a minimum of about six months -- four to six months.

MS . BARON:

Right.
MS. MORRIS:
In the meantime, if the staff would review the applications in that light and anything that's questionable and they can bring it to the Commission.

MS. BARON:
So bring it to the Commission. MR. POTEET:

And the way I understand this
process, if the Commission has given them authorization to do this, that's what we vote on.

MS. BARON:
Right. Okay. But if it's
questionable, we can just bring it before the Board?

MS. MORRIS:
Yes. If it's a major
thoroughfare or something --
MS. BARON:
Right.
MS. MORRIS:
-- that needs to be looked at.
Sometimes, people argue that's adjacent, but
it's not really.
MR. POTEET:
I would contend that those
situations would come up, anyway. If Derek makes a rule saying this is what we're going to say, the licensee can then appeal to us whether it's adjacent -- you know, if it's literally adjacent, next door, or whatever, it's across the street, across a big street, small street, but they would appeal that to us, right?

MS. MORRIS:
Right. Any application that's denied can be brought to the Commission. MR. POTEET:

Sure. Okay.
MS. BARON:
Well, we'll start that process as soon as we get the final verbiage. MR. POTEET:

Okay. So let's move on. The next thing on the agenda is the ratification of imposed penalties. Derek. MR. PARNELL:

Commissioners, please find in
your packet a chart that illustrates the dealerships that an imposed civil penalty against them by LUMVC statutes. I have determined that the public interest can be served without further administrative proceedings. The first one on your list is case number 2015-11, which is Auto Guarantee. The violation was causing injury to the public, one count. The fine amount was $\$ 250$. The second one was case number 2015-27, Premier Asset Management, Incorporated, misuse of -- one count of misuse of temporary tags at $\$ 250$. The third on the list is case number 2015-35, Sam's 1 -- Sam's 1 Used Cars, not operating from the address shown on the license, there were 11 counts, the fine amount of $\$ 2,750$. The fourth on the list is case number 2015-38, Da Spot Auto Sales, LLC, one count of not having an address shown on the license. Their fine amount was $\$ 250$. Fifth on the list is case number 2015-40, Pitre Motor Car Company, Incorporated, failure to maintain records, 17 counts of that. They were fined \$3,400. Sixth on the list is 2015-41,

Autoplex 2000 Preowned Super Stores, two counts of misuse of temporary tags at $\$ 500$ a fine was assessed. The seventh one on the list, 2015-42, Clean Used Auto Sales, LLC, and there's one count of not operating from the address shown on the license, $\$ 250$. The eighth on the list is 2015-43, Autoplex, one count of not operating from address shown on the license, $\$ 250$. The ninth on the list is 2015-82, Louisiana Imports of Baton Rouge, LLC, six counts of parking vehicles on a public right-of-way, $\$ 500$ fine was assessed. The tenth one on the list is 2015-84, ABC Auto Sales, LLC, two counts of employing unlicensed salesperson, a fine of $\$ 400$. Commissioners, I ask you to ratify the imposed civil penalties assessed and accept the signed stipulated agency orders.

MR. POTEET:
Does anybody have any questions or discussion? I have a question. What is causing injury to the public? They very first one. I am just curious. MR. PARNELL:

What constitutes that?
MR. POTEET:
Do you remember or --
MR. PARNELL:
I mean, I can't remember it, but what it could constitute is just not getting the title to them in a timely fashion. We do have a written statute for that. I can't think of it offhand what it was.

Like, for example, like when we -- you do have the bait and switches that go on, and they may tell the consumer that the vehicle -- this is what the vehicle has and when the person gets there, they switch it on them and they don't really know exactly what's going on with that vehicle.

MS . BARON:
Or they put a price up there that's one price and they come back and for cash, you can pay this, but if you're going to finance, then they up the price --

MR. PARNELL:
Or lower the price.
MS . BARON:
-- or lower the price.

MR. POTEET:
Okay. I was just curious. Okay.
Do we have a motion to ratify those?
MR. SMITH:
(Makes motion.)
MR. POTEET:
Motion from Darty.
Second?
MR. OLAVE:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
Second the motion from Steve.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
All right. So next on the agenda is the Executive Director's report. MR. PARNELL:

All right. Commissioners, you
have in your packet some reports that we've pulled off of CAVU. The first one that we're looking at is the alleged issue
counts. That's in the back of your folder. We had 184 alleged issues in the month of February.

The second document is a case report, which shows the amount of cases that were assigned to the investigators for the month. 112 classes were assigned. 30 of those cases have been completed and closed. The last one that you have in your report is the department summary. It illustrates that 49 of those cases have been closed. There were five 5 day notices issued. 29 physical inspections were conducted. 15 assisted titles to be delivered to consumer. 86 site visits were conducted. Audits -- two audits have been conducted. 22 violations have been issued. And $\$ 19,846.55$ was the investigators helping consumers get money back from the dealers. MR. POTEET:

How much was that?
MR. PARNELL:
\$19,846.55. That completes my
review of the compliance investigation and complaints totals.

Under general information, we've been -- we've kind of talked about this in the past. It's about our licensing program, our licensing solution, CAVU. We've been in situations where we're -- absolutely right now, there is a need for us to go in a different direction. We're trying to -we've listened to and we've talked with several licensing solution companies. Right now, we're kind of working with one called GL Solutions. It's something that we know in the future that we must go towards. CAVU is not growing with us and they're not trying to offer us anything that can actually sustain us in the direction that we're trying to go as a Commission. We've talked with this company, GL Solutions. It's more of a custom program to kind of work with us. So it's expensive, yes, but in a couple of years, about the year 2017, CAVU is going increase their rates and we'll be paying nearly -- close to what we would pay with the custom program. We're continually -- we're hampered. We're having issues with CAVU moving forward in relation
to just our processes.
Our process is long, cumbersome, and we can't really do the things that we want to do with our system. We have trouble working around it constantly. So I just wanted to notify you all that we've really been working really hard. Hopefully, I want to move by the year 2016 licensing period that we will probably have another licensing solution in place. Of course, I will bring it before you all, so you can kind of look at the numbers and we'll go from there. But it's just a need that we cannot any longer continue with the licensing solution that we have, now.

MR. POTEET:
And CAVU doesn't offer the
flexibility that we need.
MR. PARNELL:
No. They don't really offer anything, you know. To be perfectly honest with you, I probably haven't talked to any representative of CAVU in almost a year and I don't think anyone on staff has either. We were having staff meetings. We were
having meetings with them and we would try to have a list of the things that we need to get taken care of and they had a priority list and all this and that, but nothing would happen. And we're paying them all of this money and it's just not worth it. In the future, it doesn't look like they're trying to change their product. Other -I've talked to two other agencies in the State and they have decided to leave CAVU as well just because of the same issues. You know, when I initially came on, they promised, oh, they're going to do this, they're going to do that. They had new persons that came in and bought the company. It sounded good initially for about a year, six months or so. After that, it went right back to more of the same. It's just something that has to happen, I believe, for us to work.

MR. TAYLOR:
Derek, have we talked to any
other used car commissions in other states to see what type of software they're using? MR. PARNELL:

A lot of those companies, they have their own software that they've gotten. It is more custom for them. Some of the other used car commissions fall under the Department of Public Safety -- well, a lot of them fall under the Department of Safety, so were kind of using what they're using, but most of them have their own custom program.

MR. POTEET:
The important thing is the flexibility.

MR. PARNELL:
Flexibility, I believe, yes.
MR. POTEET:
This was something that was near and dear to Ron's heart five or six years ago.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
I think it's just a character of CAVU. I just didn't think that really anything would happen. I'll tell what $I$ do at the gun club. We just use a magazine subscription software and it's custom built. It's not very costly and my guy can show you
how to go about that, how to evaluate it, and we publish, you know, what, seven, eight different company websites. But just getting that online integration into simply a magazine subscription software with renewal going down to a Paypal cart and basket, it's not that difficult. And I think you can put it together for, $I$ don't know, 7 or 8 thousand dollars. And your maintenance fees are -- I'm pretty tight. It runs around about $\$ 175$ a year. So -MR. POTEET:

I think that the key is that, you know, we get something that works, something that has, you know, flexibility to do what we have to do or what we want to do. So, you know, look at two or three different options and present those to us and explain why one is better than the other. Sometimes, things are -- you know, just because it's more expensive doesn't mean it's better, but also we just want to make sure that we're getting our money's worth no matter what we spend.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

Is your IT guy doing well for you?

MR. PARNELL:
He kind of has been addressing
it. Really sat and brainstormed about quite a bit. Staff -- you know, we looked at the program, what we don't like about CAVU, what it's not doing. When we go in and speak to any of these licensing solutions companies, they think we're somewhat difficult, because we just want to be sure whatever we move to next is going to be something that we can really grow from in the next 15 years or so. MR. DUPLESSIS:

What did your Board say, Sheri? MS. MORRIS:

I have one licensing board that really has outdated software. They've kind of done the same thing. Look to see what the other state boards have and whatever, unfortunately, there doesn't seem to a real package software that's flexible. So there are several states that have custom software. Those people that put it together will sell it to you, but it's very
expensive, and then it's not custom to your state law, it is customed to wherever they developed it. And so it's been very difficult, because the funding -- there's not a lot of funds available, and I have several clients working on it, it is very hard.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
Well, what's your requirements?
Because I can put this together for you. I mean, we already have it. We have all online software. We have a website that you go to modules that is plugged in and it goes to the bank account and it's, you know, another day at the office. The gun club is run on the same thing and another business I have is similar. They all filter down to a bank account, and then we have it tied into a modular website set up. So this module has got qualities and it goes right to a shopping cart and you're done. It's a piece of cake. We can put it together for you for probably under 10 grand. MR. PARNELL:

What we'll do is, we'll put
together a requirement and I'll get it to you and have you -- let your guys look at it. It's going to be a pretty extensive list, a pretty detailed list.

MR. POTEET:
I think the one way, there are two different ways to look at this sort of thing. One is to say, this doesn't do what I want it to do, and make a list, this is what I want. You know, that's -- we have the same problem in our industry. There are only 350 auctions in the National Auto Auction Association and we all have pretty extensive requirements in software. So there are two companies we can choose from. And, you know, there's not much else you can do, because who wants to get into a business that has only 350 customers, and that's the same problem that I would think with organizations like ours. I mean, how many -- if you decided to run a software company, you know, you're not talking about getting thousands of millions customers, you're talking about getting 50 or 40 or maybe even less. So you've got to -- it's got to
either be expensive to make it worth your while or it's got to be easy, one or the other, probably not both.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
What do you have besides getting them to pay their bill and have the website interaction, is there any other -MS . ANDERSON: Printing the licenses. MR. PARNELL:

Printing the licenses.
MS . ANDERSON:
It has to work with Pay Point. MR. PARNELL:

It has to work with Pay Point. MR. ANDERSON:

It has to go through Pay Point for the state for the payment online. It has to -- First Data is the company that does the online payment process. It's a state contract and we have to go through them, and so whatever --

MR. DUPLESSIS:
But that's a plug-in modular to the website.

MS . ANDERSON:
I haven't had a lot of negative response. I don't know all the technical part of it, but $I$ haven't had a lot of negative response from most companies. They can work with it, but, you know, it is -- we do have to have that.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
Right. But it's just a plug into whatever your adaptive software.

MS . ANDERSON:
Yes. And they are flexible,
First Data and Pay Point.
MR. DUPLESSIS:
It's a piece of cake. That's no problem whatsoever.

MS . ANDERSON:

> Yes.

MR. PARNELL:
Okay. We'll get the
requirements.
MR. POTEET:
We'll work on that with Ron and we will see where we are. Definitely, to me, that's a big priority. Obviously, we
have enough money in the bank to do some things to get things done right. I mean, we shouldn't be worried about pinching pennies. And I'm not just talking about just going out and spending money like crazy. We don't want all of our company cars to be Porsches, but you know what I'm saying. We want to make sure that for the next few years, we've got a flexible system that can do what we need it to do.

MS . ANDERSON:
And it's also costing -- CAVU is
costing us in employee time.
MR. POTEET:
Sure.
MS . ANDERSON:
I can't tell you how many screens and clicks and what-have-you you have to go through just to get a payment posted, it's just -- it's beyond --

MR. POTEET:
It's destroying productivity.
MS . ANDERSON:
Right. And when you talk about in the middle of renewal season, all of
those payments that come in, both on the online aspect and on the, you know, checks and what-have-you we receive in the mail, and multiply that, it's just -- you've got to go through four or five different screens just to post one payment. And our accounting system -- you know, I go to one screen, one screen to post a payment.

That's how it should be, you know. And like Derek said, they're not -- it's not just -it's not that they're getting bad service. There's no service at all. You can't even get somebody to talk to you about it.

MR. POTEET:
We can't complain about the service, because there is none. MS . ANDERSON: And about every six months or so, they change staff and they get somebody on there that's really gung ho and they send us this and we have a snapshot of that and we do all that, spend a lot of time providing them with data and nothing gets done. MR. DUPLESSIS:

What is your accounting system?

MS . ANDERSON:
It's Sage 50. It's Peachtree.
MR. DUPLESSIS:
So basically it's Peachtree
based?
MS . ANDERSON:
Right.
MR. DUPLESSIS:
Piece of cake.
MR. POTEET:
Okay. Next on the agenda is the committee reports, the 2015 legislative session.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
Sheri, I'm going to let you take it, because I think we have most of our legislation stitched up with the exception of maybe the finance issue -- the finance act. I'm kind of discombobulated on that issue. I do know that we have a pending appointment with the Governor's Office. So if y'all would bring us up to speed with that.

MS. MORRIS:
Before we finalize the
legislation, we're still looking at the history of the vehicle sales finance act and putting together some information that the Governor's Office staff has requested and hoping to meet with them. I do think before we file the bill that we do need to communicate with the scrap metal issue that came up, so that if there's anything that they need.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
Okay. Is there any scrap metal
issue that I'm unaware of?
MS. MORRIS:
Well, I think they were
suggesting that it was not necessary for us to put that in there and they suggested that maybe quantity the -- how -- they want to know how we're going to calculate what is the finance part of business and that sort of thing. So we didn't really nail that down either.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
And what's your recommendation, can we solve that, can we do that in this session right here?

MS. MORRIS:
I guess we contact the
representatives of that agency and see what we can work out, some common ground.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
We're sitting here March 16. The clock is ticking. MS. MORRIS:

We thought we were going to the Governor's Office three weeks ago.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
Right.
MS . MORRIS:
That got delayed, that got
delayed. So we would kind of put that project as a priority and it has been delayed, so now we're here at the deadline again. That seems to happen every year. MR. DUPLESSIS:

Do you have a seat on the
legislation before we get like we are under the gun, the clock is ticking.

MS . MORRIS:
The session starts mid April. So we still have our April meeting. We'll get
everything finalized. We have to have it submitted. There won't be any hearings before the Commission hearing. MR. DUPLESSIS:

So, virtually, what we did is submit a bill, and then after the committee meeting --

MS. MORRIS:
We are looking at maybe two
bills.
MR. DUPLESSIS:
Okay. That concludes my report,
Mr. Chairman.
MR. POTEET:
Does anybody have any questions
or comments on any of that?
MS. MORRIS:
I think we will keep working on
that legislation.
MR. DUPLESSIS:
Right.
MR. POTEET:
All right. If we don't have anything else, is there anything that we need to bring up for the next agenda? The
next meeting will be April 20th.
MS. MORRIS:
We do need to make sure we go through the legislation before it gets to a committee.

MR. POTEET:
Is everybody going to be able to make that meeting? We haven't had a problem with that in a while, but it's always nice to know. Okay. Without hearing else, I propose that we adjourn the meeting. MR. BREWER: second that.
(Meeting adjourned at 10:26 a.m.)
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| $27: 21,28: 3,28: 9$, $28 \cdot 20,29 \cdot 4,29 \cdot 13$, | project [1] - 61:16 | 48:3, 48:6, 48:7, $48: 20,50: 21,52: 5$ | requirements [15] - | run [2] - 53:16, 54:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:20, 29:4, 29:13, } \\ & \text { 29:21, 30:3, 30:8, } \end{aligned}$ | promised [1]-49:13 proper [1]-16:2 | 52:13, 52:18, 58:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:19, 11:25, 12:4, } \\ & \text { 17:11, 21:9, 22:7, } \end{aligned}$ | runs [2]-18:9, 51:11 |
| 30:24, 31:7, 31:16, 31:21, 32:10, 32:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { property [3]-14:15, } \\ & \text { 18:11, 22:11 } \end{aligned}$ | ceivable [1] - 9:4 | $27: 19,29: 11,30: 2,$ | S |
| $33: 23,34: 9,34: 23$, $35: 11,35: 18,35: 25$, | propose [4]-6:15, 6:17, 12:21, 63:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { receive }{ }_{[1]}-58: 3 \\ & \text { received }[2]-9: 5 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $53: 9,54: 14,56: 21$ | Safety [2] - 50:5, |
| 36:15, 36:25, 37:5, | proposing [1] - | 39:22 | 24:19, 27:7, 30:19 | 50:6 |
| $38: 1,38: 12,38: 18$, $38: 21,38: 24,40: 9$, | 22:17 | recognize [1] - 32:1 recommendation [1] | response [8]-4:19, | Sage [1]-59:2 salaries [1]-8:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38: 21,38: 24,40: 9, \\ & 41: 2,41: 15,41: 20, \end{aligned}$ | protect [1] - 18:18 protection [1] - | - 60:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 12,25: 25,29: 25, \\ & 38: 23,45: 17,56: 3, \end{aligned}$ | Sales [5] - 9:19, |
| 43:20, 44:2, 45:1, | 18:19 | ords [1] - 42:2 | 56:5 | 9:21, 42:19, 43:4, |
| $45: 6,45: 11,45: 15$ <br> $45: 18,46: 20,48: 16$ | providing ${ }_{[1]}$ - 58:22 | referring [1] - 14:4 regard [1]-12:3 | responses [4]-6:9, | $43: 14$ |
| $50: 10,50: 15,51: 12$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { public [7] - 5:19, } \\ 14: 7,18: 19,42: 4, \end{gathered}$ | regarding [1] - 11:24 | $\begin{array}{r} 6: 24,38: 20,45: \\ \end{array}$ | salespeople [1] - |
| 54:5, 56:22, 57:14, | $42: 9,43: 12,43: 23$ | gister [1] - 18:13 | result [2]-16:22, | 23:23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 57: 21,58: 14,59: 10, \\ & 62: 14,62: 22,63: 6 \end{aligned}$ | Public [1]-50:5 | EGULAR [1]-1:12 gulation [3] - | $35: 13$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { salesperson [2]- } \\ 33: 14,43: 15 \end{gathered}$ |
| Premier [1] - 42:11 | publication [1] - | $11: 18,12: 8,12: 13$ | 8:19, 8:22, 21:11, | Sam's [2]-42:14, |
| Preowned [1]-43:1 <br> prepared [1]-64:9 <br> present ${ }_{[1]}$ - 51:18 <br> PRESENT [2]-2:6, | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 23 \\ & \text { publish }[3]-39: 15, \\ & 39: 16,51: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { related }[2]-8: 2,16: 4 \\ & \text { relation }[1]-47: 25 \\ & \text { remainder }[1]-8: 3 \\ & \text { remember }[2]-44: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 13,26: 22,27: 11, \\ & 27: 19,28: 2 \\ & \text { revenues }[4]-7: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 15 \\ & \text { sat }[1]-52: 5 \\ & \text { scrap }[2]-60: 7, \\ & 60: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3:1 <br> presented [1] - 12:2 <br> pretty [6] - 20:9, | pulled [1] - 45:24 <br> put [11]-16:2, 32:2, <br> 36:10, 44:18, 51:8, <br> 52:24, 53:10, 53:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44:5 } \\ & \text { renewal }[2]-51: 6, \\ & 57: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { review }[3]-38: 9, \\ & 40: 4,46: 24 \\ & \text { rewrite }[3]-37: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { screen }[2]-58: 8 \\ & \text { screens }[2]-57: 17, \\ & 58: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 21:1, 51:10, 54:3, | 53:25, 60:16, 61:15 | newals [1] - 7:1 | 37:11, 39:19 | season [1] - 57:25 |
| 54:4, 54:13 price [5]-44:18 | putting [2]-29:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { report }[9]-6: 16,9: 3, \\ & 9: 12,35: 23,39: 2, \end{aligned}$ | rewriting [1] - 30:16 | seat [1]-61:20 <br> second $[12]-6: 6$, |


| 6:19, 6:21, 12:20, | 16:2, 16:3, 18:21, | State [2]-49:10, | switch [1] - 44:14 | tree [1] - 15:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34:3, 38:17, 42:10, | 19:15 | 64:5 | itches [1] - 44:11 | ees [1] - 13:3 |
| 45:8, 45:10, 45:12, | signed [1] - 43:18 | STATE [1] - 1:7 | system [4]-48:4, | ble [1] - 48:4 |
| $46: 4,63: 13$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { similar }[3]-13: 3, \\ 27: 24.53: 17 \end{gathered}$ | state [4]-52:20, <br> 53:2, 55:18, 55:21 | 57:9, 58:7, 58:25 | true [2]-21:15, |
| 12:12, 14:25, 15:24, | -51:4 | statement [3]-7:8, | T | 13 |
| 16:1, 49:24, 52:19, | 46:15 | 7:21, 8:7 |  | [2]-16.12, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 56:24, 61:3 } \\ & \text { seem }[1]-52: 21 \end{aligned}$ | sitting [1] - 61:6 <br> situations [2]-41:4, | statements [1] - 7:6 <br> states [2]-49:23, | tags [2] - 42:13, 43:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:17, 18:18, 21:8, } \\ & 24: 20,28: 14,28: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| segregated [1]-24:4 | 47:5 | $52: 23$ | TAMBLING [3]-3:7, | 47:7, 47:14, 47:16, |
| sell [1] - 52:25 | [6] - 39:23, 39:24, | 26:9 | 29:18, 39:5 | 49:8 |
| selling [1] - 35:14 | 43:11, 49:17, 50:17, | 24:10 | taper [1] - 7:13 | turn [3] - 7:5, 12:6, |
| send [2]-10:23, | 58:18 | statute [2]-33:4, | TAYLOR [4]-2:13 | 12:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 58: 20 \\ & \text { sent }[1]-10: 9 \\ & \text { separate }[17]-13: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sixth }[1]-42: 25 \\ & \text { size }[2]-20: 9,36: 20 \\ & \text { small }[3]-15: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 8 \\ & \text { statutes }{ }_{[1]}-42: 3 \\ & \text { stenotype }[1]-64: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 4:14, 20:3, 49:21 tAYLOR ${ }_{[1]}-24: 6$ Taylor [1]-4:13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { two [16] - 19:1, } \\ \text { 20:15, 21:18, 22:22, } \end{gathered}$ |
| 13:20, 15:23, 16:20, | 21:1, 41:10 | EPHEN [1] - 2:10 | chnical [1] - 56:3 | $28: 13,43: 1,43: 1$ |
| 17:9, 17:18, 17:22, | Smith [1] - 5:8 | ve ${ }_{[1]}-45: 12$ | telephone [2]-13:5, | 46:16, 49:9, 51:17, |
| 18:1, 18:12, 19:13, $23 \cdot 21,23: 23,24: 18$, | $\underset{\text { SMITH }}{ }$ [4]-2:12, $5: 9$, | eve $[1]-5: 12$ | 13:13 temporary [2] - | 54:7, 54:15, 62:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23: 21,23: 23,24: 18, \\ & 24: 24,26: 24,30: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $6: 3,45: 4$ | still [6] - 13:10, | $42: 13,43: 2$ | two-lane [1]-20:15 type [5]-14:9, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 33:13 } \\ & \text { separated }[3]-13: 1 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { software }[11] \text { - } \\ 49: 24,50: 2,50: 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:1, } 61: 25 \\ & \text { stipulated }[1]-43: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tenth }{ }_{[1]}-43: 13 \\ & \text { THE }_{[1]}-2: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 12,26: 24,30: 18 \\ & 49: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:21, } 15: 20 \\ & \text { separating }[2]-24: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 5,52: 18,52: 22, \\ & 52: 24,53: 12,54: 14, \end{aligned}$ | tched ${ }_{[1]}$ - 59:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { they've }[3]-36: 3, \\ & 50: 2,52: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{U}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:10 } \\ & \text { sequential }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $54: 21,56: 10$ | res [1] - 43:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { thinking }[2]-20: 4, \\ & \text { 20:22 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:10 } \\ & \text { served }[1]-42: 5 \\ & \text { service }[3]-58: 11, \end{aligned}$ | solution [4]-47:4, 47:9, 48:10, 48:14 solutions [1] - $52: 9$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { street }[38]-13: 2, \\ 13: 23,13: 25,14: 3, \end{gathered}$ 14:4, 14:5, 14:10, | third [1] - 42:13 thoroughfare [3] 25:18, 26:6, 40:20 | ultimately [1] - 34:20 unaware [1]-60:12 under [8]-8:1, 38:9, |
| $58: 12,58: 16$ | Solutions [2] - | $14: 21,14: 24,15: 3$ | thousand [1]-51:9 thousands [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 1,50: 4,50: 6, \\ & 53: 23,61: 21,64: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| services [1] - 8:5 <br> session [5]-37:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47:11, } 47: 17 \\ & \text { solve }[1]-60: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 5,15: 8,15: 9,16: 1, \\ & 16: 5,16: 6,16: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 54:23 | unfortunately [1] - 52:21 |
| $37: 19,59: 13,60: 25,$ | sometimes [2] - | 16:19, 16:24, 18:22, | $\begin{gathered} \text { three [9] - 21:18, } \\ \text { 22:22, 23:5, 23:6, } \end{gathered}$ | unless [1] - 9:11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 61: 24 \\ & \text { set }[2]-16: 21,53: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:25, } 51: 20 \\ & \text { somewhat }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:4, 19:8, 20:16, } \\ & 20: 24,21: 19,22: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 23:7, 24:7, 24:12, | unlicensed [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { seven }[1]-51: 2 \\ & \text { seventh }[1]-43: 3 \\ & \text { several }[3]-47: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 52:10 } \\ & \text { soon }[1]-41: 19 \\ & \text { sorry }[1]-20: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 18,22: 20,25: 10, \\ & 26: 3,26: 5,34: 11, \\ & 34: 13,34: 15,34: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 51:17, 61:10 } \\ & \text { throwing }{ }_{[1]}-28: 25 \\ & \text { ticking }[2]-61: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43:15 } \\ & \text { unreasonable }[1]- \\ & \text { 19:22 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 52:23, } 53: 6 \\ & \text { shall }[2]-12: 22,13: 3 \\ & \text { Sheri }[3]-20: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sort }[3]-31: 5,54: 7 \text {, } \\ & 60: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 41:9, 41:10 <br> streets [1]-21:1 <br> Strodert [1] - 11:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 22 \\ & \text { tied }[1]-53: 18 \\ & \text { tight }[1]-51: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{up}_{[19]}^{-13:} \mathbf{- 1 5}, 15: 12, \\ & 20: 2,21: 2,21: 21, \\ & 22: 4,26: 23,27: 1, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sheri [3]-20:1, } \\ & \text { 52:15, 59:15 } \end{aligned}$ | sounded [1] - 49:16 <br> space [2] - 34:2, 35:5 | Strodert [1]-11:23 <br> stuff [1]-29:23 | timely [1] - 44:7 | 35:6, 35:8, 37:16, |
| SHERI [1]-2:19 | special ${ }_{[1]}$ - 30:22 | $\text { subject }[1]-17: 10$ | itle $[1]-44: 7$ | 41:4, 44:18, 44:21, 53:19, 59:17, 59:22, |
| Sherri [1]-31:17 <br> shopping [1]-53:21 <br> show [3]-18:1, | specific [1]-26:18 speed [1] - 59:22 spend [2]-51:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { submit }[4]-31: 5, \\ & 31: 8,39: 15,62: 6 \\ & \text { submitted }[1]-62: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { titles }[1]-46: 14 \\ \text { together }[6]-51: 8, \\ 52: 24,53: 10,53: 22, \end{gathered}$ | 60:8, 62:25 <br> USED [2] - 1:6, 2:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30: 21,50: 25 \\ & \text { shown }[5]-8: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58:22 } \\ & \text { spending }[1]-57: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { subscription }[2] \text { - } \\ & 50: 24,51: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 54:1, } 60: 3 \\ & \text { Tony }[1]-4: 17 \end{aligned}$ | V |
| 42:16, 42:20, 43:6, | spike ${ }_{[1]}-8: 18$ | sufficient [1] - 32:3 | TONYA ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:6 <br> total [3]-8:14, 9: |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43:8 } \\ & \text { shows [2] - 8:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spot }[1]-42: 19 \\ & \text { staff }[9]-34: 5,34: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { suggested }[1] \text { - } \\ & 60: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { total [3]-8:14, 9:9 } \\ & \text { 10:19 } \end{aligned}$ | vague [1] - 34:11 <br> VALLEY ${ }_{[1]}-1: 19$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:5 } \\ & \text { side }[3]-15: 4,15: 8, \end{aligned}$ | 38:7, 40:3, 48:24, 48:25, 52:6, 58:19 | suggesting [1] - <br> $60 \cdot 15$ | totals [1]-46:25 <br> towards [1]-47:12 | vehicle [7]-8:5, 27:16, 33:8, 44:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:21 } \\ & \text { sides [2] - 20:11, } \end{aligned}$ | 60:4 standpoint [2] - | SUITE [1] - 2:20 <br> summary [1] - 46:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { town }[1]-23: 8 \\ & \text { track }[1]-25: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 44:16, 60:2 <br> VEHICLE [2] - 1:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20: 24 \\ & \operatorname{sign}[3]-14: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:22, } 32: 24 \\ & \text { start [1] }-41: 18 \end{aligned}$ | Super [1]-43:1 supervision [1]- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { transcribed }{ }_{[1]} \\ & 64: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:17 } \\ & \text { Vehicle [1] - 64:6 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:8, 29:12 } \\ & \text { signage }[5]-14: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\text { started }_{[1]}-20: 25$ starts [1] - 61:24 | 64:11 <br> sustain [1] - 47:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { transcript }_{[1]}-64: 12 \\ & \text { traveled }[1]-25: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vehicles [2]-12:23, } \\ & \text { 43:11 } \end{aligned}$ |


| verbiage [1] - 41:19 vet ${ }_{[1]}-37: 17$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { years }[4]-47: 20, \\ 50: 17,52: 13,57: 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| violation [1] - 42:8 | Z |
| virtually ${ }_{[1]}-62: 5$ <br> visits [1]-46:15 <br> vote [1] - 40:13 | zoning [1] - 17:25 |
| W |  |
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